1 2 3 4 5	MICHAEL ARATA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11902 E-mail: marata@hkm.com HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 Tel: (702) 577-3029 Fax: (702) 577-3029 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	DISTRICT	
9	BRIAN HERTZ, an Individual,	CASE NO.:
10	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND
11	VS.	
12	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Foreign	
13	Corporation;	
14	Defendants.	
15	Plaintiff BRIAN HERTZ (hereinafter "Mr. Hertz" or "Plaintiff") by and through his	
16	attorneys of record, the law firm of HKM Employment Attorneys LLP, hereby complains and	
17	alleges as follows:	
18	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
19	1. This is an action for damages for unlawful workplace discrimination based on	
20	age under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA") 29 U.S.C. § 623; and for	
21	certain claims brought pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statutes as outlined below.	
22	2. This Court has primary jurisdiction over claims set forth herein pursuant to 28	
23	U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. §1343(a) (4) (civil rights action) and 42 U.S.C.	
24	§2000e-5(f) (3) (unlawful discrimination and retaliation in employment). Additionally, this	
25	Court has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims pled herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
26	§1367.	
27	3. Upon information and belief and unless otherwise indicated, all material	
28	allegations relative to the named defendants contained in this Complaint occurred in the State	
	Page <b>1</b> of <b>13</b>	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employed 15 or more employees for each working day during each of the 20 or more calendar workweeks in the current or preceding calendar year and are therefore subject to the provisions of the statutes outlined herein.

# EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

- 5. On or about August 21, 2024, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination against the Defendant named in this action with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 6. On or about December 9, 2024, Plaintiff received the "Notice of Right to Sue" from the EEOC.
- 7. Fewer than 90 days have passed since the date of mailing of the "Notice of Right to Sue." This action is timely filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f).
- 8. Prior to filing this action, Plaintiff exhausted the administrative remedy on all claims pled hereunder.

### **PARTIES**

- 9. Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
  - 10. Plaintiff, at all relevant times, was a resident of Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant ORACLE AMERICA, INC. (hereinafter "Oracle" or "Company" or "Defendant") was a foreign corporation that maintains its headquarters in Austin, Texas.
- 12. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendant as it purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting activities in Nevada and established minimum contacts sufficient to confer jurisdiction. Defendant does business in Nevada, employs residents of Nevada, advertises in Nevada, markets to Nevada customers, and actions taken by Defendant as described in this Complaint were made in Nevada.

1 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** 2 13. Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though 3 fully set forth herein. 4 14. Plaintiff was above the age of forty (40) during all relevant times. 5 15. On or about March 20, 2023, Mr. Hertz, at age 57, commenced his second tenure 6 of employment with Oracle. 7 16. Mr. Hertz previously worked for Oracle between 2012 to 2021. 8 17. Mr. Hertz was hired as an Application Sales Manager and initially assigned to 9 work in Financial Services/Enterprise Accounts Team under Regional Vice President Jesse 10 Palmer. 11 18. Within a few months of his return, around June 1, 2023, Mr. Hertz was 12 reassigned to the Financial Services Major Accounts team (hereinafter "Majors Team") under 13 the management of Regional Vice President Daniel Colon (hereinafter "Mr. Colon"), who was 14 notably younger than Mr. Hertz at or around 43 years of age. 15 19. Mr. Hertz was one of eight individuals working on the Majors Team under Mr. 16 Colon's direction. 17 20. Mr. Hertz was the third oldest team member on the Financial Services Major 18 Accounts team. At least half of the team members were significantly younger than Mr. Hertz. 19 21. Almost immediately after the transfer, Mr. Colon began harassing and treating 20 Mr. Hertz differently from his younger peers on the team. 21 22. Mr. Colon's discriminatory treatment toward Mr. Hertz included, but was not 22 limited to, the following: 23 a. Mr. Colon referenced Mr. Hertz's age and made comments about Mr. Hertz 24 being an "old man." 25 b. Mr. Colon scrutinized Mr. Hertz's utilization of company benefits such as 26 reimbursement for travel, cell phone and office expenses, which he did not do 27 with younger team members. 28 Mr. Colon micromanaged Mr. Hertz's work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Mr. Colon singled out and targeted Mr. Hertz for this criticism, as he did not send a comparable email or otherwise criticize Mr. Hertz's younger team members despite them
- 27. Mr. Colon treated Mr. Hertz differently from his younger colleagues by threatening Mr. Hertz about his sales performance.
- 28. Mr. Colon departed from Company practice by threatening Mr. Hertz about his sales performance despite it being his first year in a new territory assignment.
- 29. Mr. Colon frequently ignored and failed to attend scheduled meetings with Mr. Hertz, including scheduled client meetings.
  - 30. In late October, Mr. Hertz contacted Mr. Colon's supervisor, Adam Scott Page 4 of 13

- 31. However, Mr. Scott dismissed the claims and did not take any action to address the complaint.
- 32. Mr. Colon retaliated against Mr. Hertz for engaging in protected activity by complaining to Mr. Scott and threatened there would be consequences if Mr. Hertz pursued his complaint further.
- 33. Mr. Colon instructed Mr. Hertz not to contact any manager or executive above Mr. Colon without his permission.
- 34. In November 2023, despite these threats, Mr. Hertz complained to Mr. Scott that Mr. Colon's actions were creating a hostile work environment. Mr. Scott again disregarded the complaint.
- 35. Mr. Hertz considered requesting assistance from Oracle Human Resources at this time, but was fearful of further retaliation by Mr. Colon, who had a reputation for retaliating against employees who complained to Human Resources about him.
- 36. Despite Mr. Colon's ongoing harassment and discrimination, Mr. Hertz's sales performance remained on par with his younger colleagues, placing him approximately in the middle of the Majors Team based on revenue generation.
- 37. Notably, Mr. Hertz's attempts to build relationships with new clients were starting to bear fruit as he was making significant inroads with Fidelity Investments, Equitable and Guardian Life Insurance.
- 38. Mr. Colon's bias against Mr. Hertz threatened to derail these efforts as Mr. Colon took the opportunity to ridicule and question Mr. Hertz's ability to secure a deal with Fidelity Investments following a presentation by Mr. Hertz to senior management in December 2023, undermining Mr. Hertz's credibility within the Company.
- 39. Following the presentation, Mr. Hertz spoke with Mr. Scott and complained that Mr. Colon was treating him differently because of his age and cited Mr. Colon's behavior during the December 2023 presentation as an example.

Page **6** of **13** 

c. Company Human Resources.

26

27

- 56. Mr. Hertz complied with Company policy for reporting discrimination by complaining to his supervisors, Mr. Colon and Mr. Scott, and Company Human Resources.
  - 57. Defendant's explanation that Plaintiff was fired for "poor performance" is Page 7 of 13

25

26

27

28

merely pretext and is unsubstantiated.

- 58. At the time of termination of Plaintiff's employment, Defendant did not provide any explanation or support for their purported decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment for "poor performance."
- 59. Defendant fired Plaintiff after, and because, he made multiple reports about ongoing harassment, discrimination, and retaliation, that he was experiencing.
- 60. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff because of his age (58 years old at the time of the termination of his employment).
- 61. Upon information and belief, Defendant acted toward Plaintiff with an intent to discriminate against him based on his age (58 years old at the time of the termination of his employment).
- 62. Soon after he began working under Mr. Colon's direction, Plaintiff was subjected to constant harassment by Mr. Colon.
- 63. The harassment did not stop despite Plaintiff's multiple reports to his supervisors, including Mr. Colon, Mr. Scott, and others.
- 64. The harassment extended to Defendants subjecting Plaintiff to differing terms and conditions of employment.
- 65. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity by reporting the harassment and retaliation experienced by him to his supervisors.
- 66. Plaintiff's reports and complaints about the on-going discrimination and harassment were largely dismissed and Defendant failed to take any meaningful action in response.
- 67. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for engaging in protected activity by terminating his employment.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Discrimination Based on Age in violation of State and Federal Statutes)

68. Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

Plaintiff is a member of the class of persons protected by state and federal

69.

statutes prohibiting discrimination based on age.

1

secure compensation for the damages incurred as a result of these violations of the ADEA and

Nevada state law and therefore, he is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees against

Defendant.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Retaliation in violation of State and Federal Statutes)

- 91. Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 92. In violation of 29 U.S.C. § 623 and NRS 613.340, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff after he complained of acts which he reasonably believed were discriminatory.
- 93. Plaintiff opposed and reported the discriminatory conduct towards him by Mr. Colon on multiple occasions after he began experiencing discrimination soon after he began working under Mr. Colon's supervision, through the duration of his employment until he was fired by Defendant in March 2024.
- 94. Plaintiff opposed and reported the discriminatory conduct to Mr. Colon, Mr. Scott, among others employed by Defendants.
  - 95. By way of non-exhaustive examples, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff by:
    - a. Subjecting Plaintiff to differing terms and conditions of employment from his younger counterparts, including limiting his duties, micromanaging his work, questioning his use of Company benefits, intruding into Plaintiff's relationships with clients, undermining Plaintiff's credibility with clients, targeting Plaintiff for criticism related to sales performance;
    - b. Harassing Plaintiff; and
    - c. Terminating his employment in March 2024.
- 96. Defendant fired Plaintiff because he made multiple reports about on-going harassment, discrimination, and retaliation, that he was experiencing.
  - 97. Plaintiff may be unaware of additional detrimental acts constituting retaliation.
- 98. The actions taken by Defendant were taken because of Plaintiff's protected complaints of discrimination to his supervisors, including Mr. Colon and Mr. Scott, and were designed to dissuade a reasonable worker from complaining about discrimination or otherwise engaging in protected activity, and such conduct by Defendant constitutes illegal retaliation,

Page 11 of 13

1 prohibited by federal and state statutes. 2 99. Plaintiff suffered adverse economic impact due to the termination of his 3 employment, including wage loss. 4 100. Plaintiff was embarrassed, humiliated, angered, and discouraged by the 5 discriminatory and retaliatory actions taken against him. 6 101. Plaintiff suffered compensable emotional harm including, but not limited to, 7 mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, and anxiety resulting from this 8 unlawful retaliation by his employer. 9 102. Plaintiff is entitled to be fully compensated for his emotional disturbance by 10 being forced to endure this retaliation pursuant to NRS 613.432. 11 103. Defendants engaged in such actions with malice or reckless indifference to 12 Plaintiff's protected rights, and Defendants must pay Plaintiff an additional amount in punitive 13 damages. 14 104. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for the sake of example, to 15 deter other employers from engaging in such conduct and by way of punishing the Defendants 16 in an amount deemed sufficient by the jury. 17 105. Pursuant to the ADEA, Plaintiff is entitled to recover liquidated damages for 18 Defendant's intentional and willful violations of the law. 19 106. Plaintiff has had to obtain the services of an attorney to protect his rights and 20 secure compensation for the damages incurred as a result of these violations of the ADEA and 21 Nevada state law and therefore, he is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees against 22 Defendant. 23 /// 24 25 /// 26 27 ///

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this court for:	
2	a. jury trial on all appropriate claims and to enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiff	
3	by:	
4	i. Awarding Plaintiff an amount sufficient to fully compensate him	
5	(including tax consequences) for all economic losses of any kind, and	
6	otherwise make him whole in accordance with the law;	
7	ii. An award of compensatory and punitive damages to be determined at	
8	trial;	
9	iii. General damages;	
10	iv. Special damages;	
11	v. Liquidated damages;	
12	vi. Pre- and post-judgment interest;	
13	vii. An award of attorney's fees and costs; and	
14	viii. Any other relief the court deems just and proper.	
15	Dated: February 25, 2025.	
16	Respectfully submitted,	
17	HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS, LLP	
18	By: <u>/s/ Michael Arata</u> MICHAEL ARATA, ESQ.	
19	Nevada Bar No. 11902 E-mail: marata@hkm.com	
20	HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP 101 Convention Center Drive, Suite 600	
21	Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 Tel: (702) 577-3029	
22	Fax: (702) 577-3029 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
23	Auomeys for Fiantin	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		